

**Please Provide Contact Information:**

---

Participant Details:	Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
Contact Country:	Vietnam
Contact Name 1:	Cao Duc Phat
Title 2:	H.E. Dr
Contact Name 2:	Pham Manh Cuong
Title 2:	Dr
Contact Email:	<a href="mailto:Manhcuongpham01gmail.com">Manhcuongpham01gmail.com</a>
Alternate Email:	
Contact Telephone:	
Alternate Telephone:	
Submission Date:	

## 1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Introduction to the report, its main purpose and sections. Short description of FCPF support in country.

This report serves as an Annual Progress Report and general update of progress to mid April 2014. The FCPF provides grant funding for the preparation for REDD+ and preparation of a Readiness Proposal including the development of supporting policies and systems, support for adopting national REDD+ strategies; development of reference emission levels (RELs); the designing of a measurement, reporting, and verification (MRV) systems; and setting up REDD+ national management arrangements, including improvements to existing environmental and social safeguards.

## 2. SUMMARY OF REPORT

Summary of progress, key achievements with a focus on higher level results and important issues/problems that arose during the reporting period. Highlights of next steps in following period -- **key bullets only**

Progress:

Progress on the implementation of the FCPF grant for the reporting period at the central level includes the establishment of a project office, recruitment of office support staff and on-going recruitment of important technical assistance. A financial management system is in place and training on financial management, and procurement has been completed. At the provincial level decisions have been taken to set up provincial project offices in Quang Binh, Quang Tri and Dak Nong, and recruit project staff the offices and staff are in place. A number of study tours and workshops have been completed.

Key Achievements with focus on higher level results:

National REDD+ Action Plan (NRAP) approved by Prime Minister; A draft ER-PIN is underway and close to completion and targets the North Central region of 3-6 provinces including Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Ha Tinh, Quang Binh, Quang Tri and Thua Thien Hue. Key drivers of deforestation have been identified and include conversion of forest into agricultural crop production, conversion of forest into rubber plantation, and infrastructure (including roads and small to medium HEP)

Important issues /problems that arose during the reporting period:

Internal government procedures are quite complex in Vietnam this has resulted in a number of slow approvals for the procurement processes and budget plans. Lack of easily available up to date data on forest inventories and lack of quantitative data on major drivers of deforestation were also encountered as a problem.

Highlights of next steps in the following period:

Submission of the ER-PIN; updating of work plan and procurement plan; procurement of various consultants to assist in the development of the SESA and ESMF, training materials, SFE policy review and development etc.; begin work with pilot sites in the three provincial pilots.

**3. MAIN ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESULTS DURING THE PERIOD**

The section below should provide qualitative and quantitative data on the progress towards expected results along the following subsections. Information is to be provided cumulatively. If the information requested is not available or not relevant at the time of the reporting, mention **“does not apply – n/a”**.

**3.1 PROGRESS AT THE IMPACT LEVEL (if any data available)**

Please provide here any quantitative and qualitative information, if available on the following criteria/indicators.

Does not apply - n/a

Number of tons of CO2 emissions from deforestation and forest degradation reduced in the country during the reporting period as compared to the measured REL/RL, if any :

National Forest Reference Emission Level (REL)/Reference Level (RL) defined:

0

Number of tons reduced during the reporting period as compared to REL/RL:

0

Amount of non-FCPF investments received under R-PP process:

Source:

0

free text

free text

<Select> Amount Provided:

Currency

Currency

Currency

Amount of non-FCPF investments received for implementation of ER Programs (e.g. FIP, bilateral donors, private sector), if relevant:

Source:

0

<Select> Amount Provided:

Currency

Currency

Currency

Currency

Currency

Level of multi-stakeholder participation and engagement in decision making processes related to emission reductions and forest resource management:

Please describe the process of engagement and consultation implemented, including describing the level of participation and engagement for the following stakeholder categories: Government Agencies, Indigenous Peoples, Other forest-dependent peoples, Women, Youth, Civil Society Organizations, Private Entities, Donors, and Others

Multistakeholder engagement has progressed well at the central level with support from the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MARD) and civil society, as a number of different international NGOs have been involved in coordination meetings and preparation of documents and technical working sub groups, for example, recently civil society has been effectively engaged and involved in the preparation of the ER-PIN e.g. SNV are collaborating on the documentation of the ER-PIN. Engagement of local communities, indigenous peoples (ethnic minorities) is just beginning in the pilot provinces with the setting up of the provincial project management units (PPMUs) and selection of the commune and village pilot sites.

## REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING

Nb. and type of policy reforms underway or completed complying to REDD+ standards, if any (*FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.3.B.*):

Number of policy reforms during the reporting period that are: <Select from dropdown list>

<b>Underway:</b>	#	
	#	
	#	
	#	
<b>Completed:</b>	1	Institutional Reforms
	2	Institutional Reforms
	3	Institutional Reforms

Please describe these policy reforms:

Decision 799/QD-TTg dated June 27, 2012 of the Prime Minister approving the national REDD action programme in the 2011 - 2020 period; Decision 1775/QĐ-TTg dated 21st November, 2012 of the Prime Minister, approving the plan on management of greenhouse gas emission and transactions on world carbon market; Decision 1474/QĐ-TTg dated 5th October, 2012 of the Prime Minister, issuing the National Action Plan on climate change for the period 2012-2020.

### 3.2 PROGRESS AT THE OUTPUT and OUTCOME LEVEL (if any data available)

#### 3.2.1. REDD Readiness Progress

##### OUTCOME LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly describe here the progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package (*FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.A.*):

Briefly describe progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package:

ER-PIN document is under way and soon to be finished; work towards the SESA and ESMF and grievance redress mechanism begins this month April 2014. Consultation planning with different stakeholders should be expanded to the provinces shortly.

##### OUTPUT LEVEL

Please indicate which of your country R-PP components and sub-components have received support from FCPF through the Readiness Preparation Grant (>3.4 million USD)

Component	Support from FCPF (Yes/No)
<b>Component 1. Readiness Organization and Consultation</b>	
1a. National REDD+ Management Arrangements	Yes
1b. Consultation, Participation, and Outreach	Yes
<b>Component 2. REDD+ Strategy Preparation</b>	
2a. Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Yes
2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Yes
2c. Implementation Framework	Yes

REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING

2d. Social and Environmental Impacts	Yes
<b>Component 3. REL/RL</b>	
3. Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels	Yes
<b>Component 4. Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards</b>	
4a. National Forest Monitoring System	Yes
4b. Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards	Yes

REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING

Level of implementation of R-PP as a whole:

Please describe the current R-PP implementation	% Completed:
<p>Good progress has been made on the support for national REDD+ and Management Arrangements, National REDD+ Action plan has been approved by the Prime Minister, ER-PIN is underway and due to be completed soon. Work on the SESA and ESMF and redress mechanism will start this month.</p>	

Level of achievement of planned milestones according to approved FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.8 million USD) (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3b):

Planned Milestones:	Level of Achievement:	Tracking:
ER-PIN underway, some progress being made towards R-P now expected at the end of 2015	ER-PIN to be completed May 2014	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> Significant progress</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> Further development required</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Not Applicable</li> </ul>
Mid term review due 30th May 2014	Delayed to December 2014	
R-P document expected to be available Dec 2015		

**3.2.1. CONTINUED Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in %**

RF Grant - disbursement rate compared to planned disbursement rate

**Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in percentage:**

	Select Actual Disbursement Rate	Tracking
RF Grant - actual disbursement rate compared to planned disbursement rate	40%	<input type="radio"/> Up to 10% variance with plans <input type="radio"/> Between 10 and 25% variance <input type="radio"/> Between 25 and 40% variance <input checked="" type="radio"/> More than 40% variance <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable

**Disbursement rate of Total R-PP Budget in percentage:**

	Select Actual Disbursement Rate	Tracking
R-PP Budget - disbursement rate v planned disbursement rate	40%	<input type="radio"/> Up to 10% variance with plans <input type="radio"/> Between 10 and 25% variance <input type="radio"/> Between 25 and 40% variance <input checked="" type="radio"/> More than 40% variance <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable

**3.2.1. REDD Progress Levels - Continued**

Countries are expected to provide data on the overall level of achievement of planned milestones as defined in their Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement, and, if applicable, on their Supplementary Grant Agreement (additional grant of up to \$5 million). Under their Preparation Readiness Grant Agreement (>3.4 million USD), Countries should provide data on (i) the support to the Coordination of the REDD+ Readiness Process and Multi-Stakeholder Consultations; (ii) the contribution to the Design of a National REDD+ Strategy; and (iii) the preparation of a National Reference Scenario for REDD+

The level of achievement of planned milestones according to the Readiness grant will be summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of an overall achievement. This is qualitatively expressed with a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, "Non Applicable" can be selected.

The level of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component should be self-assessed and reported, as well as summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of this overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then briefly explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale 'Non Applicable' can be selected. This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework. The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

Sub-Component 1a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking*
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>1a - National REDD+ Management Arrangements</b> Purpose: setting-up national readiness management arrangements to manage and coordinate the REDD-plus readiness activities whilst mainstreaming REDD-plus into broader strategies Assessment Criteria: (i) accountability and transparency; (ii) operating mandate and budget; (iii) multi-sector coordination mechanisms and cross-sector collaboration; (iv) technical supervision capacity; (v) funds management capacity; (vi) feedback and grievance redress mechanism	(i) Organisation and accountability approved by	National REDD+ Action plan approved by Prime Minister	Report to Steering committee	27th June 2012	
	(ii) Operating mandate approved by Minister	Nat. REDD+ Steering committee in place	Reports to the Steering committee	25th March 2013	
	(iii) Coordination	Steering committee in place, CPMU and PPMUs in place	Reports to the Steering committee		
	(iv) Technical supervision capacity	Technical working group, 3 provincial Tech working	Annual report, Mid term review		
	(v) Financial management	Mid term report, financial reports, audit	Annual audit	2013 Audit due June 2014	
	(vi) Feedback and grievance redress mechanism	M&E in place, SESA and ESMF and grievance plan	Annual reports		

Sub-Component 1b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>1b – Consultation, Participation, and Outreach</b> Purpose: broad consultation with and participation of key stakeholders for future REDD+ programs, to ensure participation of different social groups, transparency and accountability of decision-making Assessment Criteria: (i) participation and engagement of key stakeholders; (ii) consultation processes; (iii) information sharing and accessibility of information; (iv) implementation and public disclosure of consultation outcomes	Participation of key stakeholders; Provincial PPMU offices opened in three pilot provinces in Quang Binh Quang Tri and Dak Nong	PPMU offices open and in place	Annual stakeholder consultation plan and report for Province, District and Communes	PPMU office opened 22nd April 2013	
	Consultation plan for three provinces	Consultation plan, workshops with 3 pilot province; procure consultants to support plan	Consult with 3 province, districts communes	A number of introductory workshops held last quarter of 201; reported in quarterly and annual report	
	Information sharing as part of the communication plan; use of web site	Data on to REDD+ website	Quarterly and semi annual report; regular website updates	Quarterly and annual reports	
	Public disclosure as part of the communication plan	Reports on website procurement plan on websites and newspapers	Regular up dates to web site and reports as above	As above and documents meeting workshops on REDD+ website	



Sub-Component 2a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>2a: Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance</b> Purpose: identify key drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation, as well as activities concerning conservation, sustainable forest management, and enhancement of forest carbon stocks Assessment Criteria: (i) assessment and analysis; (ii) prioritization of direct and indirect drivers/barriers to forest enhancement; (iii) links between drivers/barriers and REDD+ activities; (iv) actions plans to address natural resource rights, land tenure, governance; (v) implications for forest law and policy	Quantification of assessment and analysis forest and carbon stock changes, major driving forces by consultant team	Consultant report on forest stocks and changes	Report and inputs to NRAP	Not yet	
	Overview report on direct and indirect drivers	Consultant reports and reports from PPMUs on	Annual report and reports from	Some reports	
	Links between to drivers / barriers and REDD+	Consultant report assessing drivers and links	Annual report and reports from	As above	
	Drafting of changes to Forest Law and policy to improve forest governance	1 PRAP; 2 draft PRAPs	Annual report and reports from PPMUs		
	Drafting of changes on Forest Law and policy related to SFCs	Policy review of progress on SFCs	Annual report and reports from	Not yet	

Sub-Component 2b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>2b: REDD+ Strategy Options</b> Purpose: Develop a set of policies and programs for addressing the drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation Assessment Criteria: (i) selection and prioritization of REDD+ strategy options; (ii) feasibility assessment; (iii) implications for strategy options on existing sectorial policies	Prioritisation of REDD+ strategy options	Assessment of forest changes; PRAPs; Pilot legislative Decisions or Decrees; ER-PIN	Annual report	Some report available under draft ER-PIN preparation; pilot benefit sharing mechanisms in other areas could provide lessons learned	
	Feasibility assessment	Consultant reports	Annual report	Not yet	
	Implications and risks	Consultant reports	Annual report	Not yet	

Sub-Component 2c	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>2c: Implementation Framework</b> Purpose: Set out credible and transparent institutional, economic, legal and governance arrangements necessary to implement REDD+ strategy options Assessment Criteria: (i) adoption and implementation of legislation/regulations; (ii) guidelines for implementation; (iii) benefit sharing mechanism; (iv) national REDD+ registry and system monitoring REDD+ activities	Revised legislation / regulations	Prime Ministers Decision or Decrees or Circular; VNFF confirmed as suitable for REDD+	Annual report	Some Decisions in place e.g. NRAP; VNFF in process of being developed not confirmed as a REDD+ fund yet	
	Guidelines for implementation	Circular(s) and guidelines	Annual report	A number of guidelines for specific activities are available	
	Benefit sharing mechanism	Review, lessons learned report of on going pilot	Annual report	Not yet in our pilots	
	National REDD+ registry	Procurement of consultants to assist CPMU to collect information	Annual report	Not yet	

Sub-Component 2d	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>2d: Social and Environmental Impacts</b> Purpose: Ensure compliance with the Common Approach and prepare a country specific Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) Assessment Criteria: (i) analysis of social and environmental safeguard issues; (ii) REDD+ strategy design with respect to impacts; (iii) Environmental and Social Management Framework	Analysis of social and environment safeguard issues	Reports; SESA	Annual report, provincial reports	Basic review of legislation undertaken not specifically undertaken for SESA	
	REDD+ strategy design taking account of expected impacts	Updated NRAP; strategy document	Annual report, provincial reports	Not yet	
	ESMF	Provincial environmental social and environmental screening reports	Annual report, provincial reports	Not yet	

Component 3	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>R-PP Component 3 - Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels</b> Purpose: Development of the general approach to establish a REL/RL Assessment Criteria: (i) demonstration of methodology; (ii) use of historical data, and adjusted for national circumstances; (iii) technical feasibility of the methodological approach, and consistency with UNFCCC/IPCC guidance and guidelines	Methodology for REL/RL	Data included in ER-PIN	Annual report	JICA have completed a study; Draft RL included in ER-PIN	<input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required <input checked="" type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable
	Use of historic data for nat. circumstances	Consultant study to be procured by CPMU	Report, annual report	(Data available but not reviewed)	
	Approach follows UNFCCC	Data included in ER-PIN	Annual report	?	

Sub-Component 4a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>4a: National Forest Monitoring System</b> Purpose: Design and develop an operational forest monitoring system and describe the approach to enhance the system over time Assessment Criteria: (i) documentation of monitoring approach; (ii) demonstration of early implementation; (iii) institutional arrangements and capacities- Forests	Demonstration of monitoring approach	M&E system; provincial systems in place	Annual report	Some aspects of a national forest monitoring system are operational, particularly at the provincial level and for particular provinces	<input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required <input checked="" type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable
	Early implementation	M&E system; provincial forest master plans up to	Reports	As above	
	Institutional arrangements and capacities for forest management	Consultant package to assist in updating forest assessment	Reports	As above	

Sub-Component 4b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>4b: Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards</b> Purpose: Specify the non-carbon aspects prioritized for monitoring by the country Assessment Criteria: (i) identification of relevant non-carbon aspects, and social and environmental issues; (ii) monitoring, reporting and information sharing; (iii) Institutional arrangements and capacities – Safeguards	Relevant non-carbon aspects	Review of pilot studies under UN-REDD	Annual report		<input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required <input checked="" type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable
	Monitoring; information sharing	Review of pilot studies under UN-REDD; part of communications plan	Annual report		
	Institutional arrangements for safeguards	Review of pilot studies under UN-REDD; review of other pilot benefit sharing and safeguard models	Annual report		

\*This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework. The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

**3.2.3. Engagement of stakeholders to sustain or enhance livelihoods of local communities and to conserve biodiversity within the approach to REDD+**

**OUTCOME LEVEL**

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please describe indicators related to biodiversity conservation and forest community livelihood development included in the ER Program if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework indicator 3.A.):

Amount provided:	Currency	
Please describe how these funds target biodiversity and forest community livelihood development:	Not yet	

Please provide relevant examples on the inherent social and biodiversity benefits of REDD+, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 3.B.):

Examples of inherent social and biodiversity benefits of REDD+:

A number of pilot benefit sharing mechanism are underway and could provide lessons learned, e.g. WWF carbi project, but these are not specifically funded by FCPF readiness

**OUTPUT LEVEL**

Number of examples of actions where Ips, CSOs, and local communities participate actively, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):

#:	Please describe these actions on enhanced livelihoods and BD conservation, and restoration where Ips, CSO, and local communities participate actively:
	A number of pilots are underway but not funded by FCPF

**Number of IPs, REDD Country CSO representatives (men/women) having been successfully trained by FCPF training programs (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.b.):**

Please list the training(s) conducted:	Duration (#of days)	# of participants		Rating
		# of men	# of women	
A number of trainings have been conducted at central and provincial level, but data is not accessible/available at this time -t will be provided at a later date				

**Frequency of meetings of stakeholder engagement platforms (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):**

Frequency:	Rating
Regular meetings at Vice minister level and technical working groups involving civil society	

**Does your country R-Package (within the national REDD+ strategies and the monitoring systems) and/or ER Program include activities aiming at maintaining or enhancing livelihoods of local communities (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.b.):**

Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at maintaining or enhancing livelihoods of local communities:
Yes	PESF; benefit sharing,

Does your country R-Package (within the national REDD+ strategies and the monitoring systems) and/or ER Program include activities aiming at conserving biodiversity (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.c.)?	
Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at conserving biodiversity:
Yes	Benefit sharing, draft collaborative approaches

Does your country R-Package and/or ER Program include SESA, an operational Grievance Mechanism, and an	
Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at conserving biodiversity:
Yes	SESA not completed yet

**3.2.4 Knowledge Sharing**

Has your country developed and published REDD+ knowledge products with FCPF support?	
Yes/No:	If yes, please provide a list of the published REDD+ knowledge products if any, during the reporting period:
No	1 Not yet
	2
	3
	4
	...

How many people have been reached by these knowledge products, if any?	
Overall number by product:	Please provide a list of the published REDD+ knowledge products if any, during the reporting period:

<b>Knowledge Product 1:</b>	
# Total People Reached	
# of Men	
# of Women	
<b>Knowledge Product 2:</b>	
# Total People Reached	
# of Men	
# of Women	
<b>Knowledge Product 3:</b>	
# Total People Reached	
# of Men	
# of Women	
<b>Knowledge Product 4:</b>	
# Total People Reached	
# of Men	
# of Women	

**Have some experts of your country participated in any South-South learning activities? If yes, how many?**

REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING

Yes/No	List the South-South Exchanges:	# Men	# Women
Yes/No			
Yes/No			
Yes/No			
Yes/No			



3.2.2. Key Elements of performance based payment systems for emission reductions generated from REDD+ activities

OUTCOME LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly specify:

Are carbon accounting, programmatic elements and pricing operating as planned in your pilot, if relevant?

Not yet	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> Significant progress</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Further development required</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Not Applicable</li> </ul> </div>
---------	---

Is the benefit sharing scheme being implemented according to plans within your pilot, if relevant?

Not yet; however, some other pilot benefit sharing mechanisms are in place in other areas and will be reviewed for lessons learned.	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> Significant progress</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Further development required</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Not Applicable</li> </ul> </div>
---	---

Percentage and/or amount of monetary benefits shared with beneficiaries in approved pilot, if relevant?

Not yet	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> Significant progress</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Further development required</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Not Applicable</li> </ul> </div>
---------	--

**OUTPUT LEVEL**

**As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly specify:**

Has your country submitted early ideas or ER-Program to the Carbon Fund and or others?	
No	<u>Please briefly describe the content of these early ideas or ER-Program:</u>
Yes/No	Due to submit an ER-PIN in May/June 2014

**Has your country signed an ERPA?**

Has your country submitted early ideas or ER-Program to the Carbon Fund and or others?	
/No	<u>Please briefly describe the content of this ERPA:</u>
No	Not yet

**Amount and date of disbursements for ER Program according to plans, if relevant:**

<i>Date format:</i> mm/dd/yyyy	<Select>	
Date:	Amount provided:	Currency
Date:	Amount provided:	Currency
Date:	Amount provided:	Currency

#### 4. Issues Challenges and Risks

This section should present any problems, difficulties or constraints faced by the country in making progress towards the intended REDD+ results (outputs, outcomes and possible impacts), the main causes and their expected effect on the work plan. Actions that have been taken to overcome or manage these constraints/flaws/problems identified should be stated. Each problem/constraint should be stated as a separate point, along with associated proposed changes in work planning for the next six month/year to address it, as relevant.

Issue, Challenge, Risk	Actions to overcome, Adjustments to plan
Operational	Time for procurement processes need to extended,
Institutional	Time required for introduction of policy changes
Other	Time required to undertake in-depth and meaningful consultation process with remote indigenous communities (ethnic minorities) can be significant

#### 5. Main Lessons Learned

This section should be used to provide information on important lessons learnt since the beginning of the readiness process. As this is a semi-annual report, it is expected that this section will be fairly substantial, making reference to different lessons learning documents, and/or events developed and dealing with issues of particular interest with respect to readiness of carbon funding under REDD+.

Too early for lessons learned, except that planning and implementation process takes some time, and some of the proposed activities are ambitious for the proposed timeframe